



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

But now, if this however great  $KB$  is supposed divided (as in Proposition XXV) into portions  $KK$ , equal to the length  $R$ , and from these points  $K$  perpendiculars are erected, which meet  $AX$  in points  $H, D, M$ ; the angles at these points, toward the parts of the point  $L$ , will neither be right nor obtuse; lest in some quadrilateral, as suppose  $KMLK$ , the four angles together should be equal to or greater than four rights, contrary to the hypothesis of acute angle, according to which we are proceeding. Therefore all such angles will be acute toward the parts of the point  $L$ ; and therefore in like manner all at these points obtuse toward the parts of the point  $A$ . Wherefore (from Corollary I to Proposition III) of the aforesaid perpendiculars the least will indeed be  $KL$  more remote from the base  $AB$ , the greatest  $KM$  nearer this base.

And of the remaining the nearer will be ever greater than the more remote.

Therefore (from the preceding Proposition XXV, and its Corollary) the four angles together of the quadrilateral  $KHLK$  more remote from base  $AB$  will be greater than the four angles together of all the remaining quadrilaterals nearer to this base. Wherefore (as in Proposition XXV) the hypothesis of acute angle would be destroyed.

Therefore it holds, that of the aforesaid common perpendiculars in two distinct points there will be no determinate limit, such that under a smaller and smaller acute angle made at the point  $A$ , it would not always be possible to attain (in hypothesis of acute angle) to such a common perpendicular in two distinct points as may be less than any assigned length  $R$ .

Quod erat demonstrandum.

[To be Continued.]

---

## SOPHUS LIE'S TRANSFORMATION GROUPS.

---

A SERIES OF ELEMENTARY, EXPOSITORY ARTICLES.

---

By EDGAR ODELL LOVETT, Princeton, New Jersey.

---

### IV.

PROOF OF LIE'S THEOREM THAT A ONE PARAMETER GROUP CONTAINS BUT ONE INFINITESIMAL TRANSFORMATION AND ITS CONVERSE THEOREM. EXAMPLES.

13. In the preceding paragraphs it has been shown by methods of proof due to LIE that every one parameter group with inverse transformations contains an infinitesimal transformation and conversely, every infinitesimal transformation generates a one parameter group. It is the purpose of this paragraph to present the proof of the theorem that the indefinite article "a" in these theorems can be replaced by the definite modifier "one and but one." The theorem

is necessary to a rigorous grounding of the fundamental details of the theory of the group of one parameter ; the proof is less simple than the proofs of the previous theorems because it makes use of an elementary theorem of the theory of functions.

Consider again the  $G_1$

$$x_1 = \varphi(x, y, a), \quad y_1 = \psi(x, y, a). \quad (1)$$

We have found that every  $G_1$  contains an infinitesimal transformation ; hence we may assume the existence of an infinitesimal transformation, say

$$x' = x + \xi(x, y)\delta t + \dots, \quad y' = y + \eta(x, y)\delta t + \dots, \quad (2)$$

belonging to the  $G_1$ , (1).

Let  $T_a$  be the transformation of the group (1) corresponding to the value  $a$  of the parameter and carrying the point  $(x, y)$  into the position  $(x_1, y_1)$ . Let  $I$  be the infinitesimal transformation (2) of the group (1) and let it change the point  $(x_1, y_1)$  into the point  $(x_2, y_2)$  given by the equations

$$I \quad x_2 = x_1 + \xi(x_1, y_1)\delta t + \dots, \quad y_2 = y_1 + \eta(x_1, y_1)\delta t + \dots \quad (3)$$

The transformation equivalent to the product  $T_a I$  transforms the point  $(x, y)$  into the point  $(x_2, y_2)$  and is found by eliminating  $(x_1, y_1)$  from the equations (3) by means of the equations (1). This elimination partially effected gives

$$S \equiv T_a I \equiv T_{a+\delta a} \begin{cases} x_2 = \varphi(x, y, a) + \xi(x_1, y_1)\delta t + \dots, \\ y_2 = \psi(x, y, a) + \eta(x_1, y_1)\delta t + \dots, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where the  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  allowed to remain are to be expressed in terms of  $x, y$ , and  $a$  by means of the equations (1).

The equations (4) represent the transformation  $S$  which is equivalent to the successive application of  $T_a$  and  $I$ . Since  $T_a$  and  $I$  belong to the group (1), their product  $S$  belongs, by definition, to the group (1). Since  $I$  is an infinitesimal, the product,  $S$ , of  $T_a$  and  $I$  differs by an infinitesimal from  $T_a$  and accordingly the parameter of  $S$  has a value,  $a + \delta a$ , differing by an infinitesimal from the parameter,  $a$ , of  $T_a$ , where  $\delta a$  is an infinitesimal quantity of the same nature as  $\delta t$  in the equations (2). Further, we have proved that if  $a$  is the parameter of a transformation of a given group and  $a_1$  the parameter of a second transformation of the same group, the parameter  $\alpha$  of their product is a function of  $a$  and  $a_1$  alone. The parameter of  $T_a$  is  $a$ , that of  $I$  is  $\delta t$ , and that of  $S$ , the product of  $T_a$  and  $I$ , is  $a + \delta a$  ; hence  $a + \delta a$  is a function of  $a$  and  $\delta t$  alone, *i. e.*  $\delta a$  depends on  $a$  and  $\delta t$  alone.

The transformation  $T_{a+\delta a}$  which is equivalent to  $S$  and is a member of the group (1) has the form

$$x_2 = \varphi(x, y, a + \delta a), \quad y_2 = \psi(x, y, a + \delta a), \quad (5)$$

or developed in powers of  $\delta a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \varphi(x, y, a) + \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \delta a + \dots, \\ y_2 &= \psi(x, y, a) + \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \delta a + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Comparing these expressions (6) for  $x_2, y_2$  with the forms given by the equations (4) we have

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x_1, y_1) \delta t + \dots = \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \delta a + \dots, \\ \eta(x_1, y_1) \delta t + \dots = \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \delta a + \dots \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In these equations (7),  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are definite functions of  $x, y$ , and  $a$ , and conversely,  $x$  and  $y$  are definite functions of  $x_1, y_1$ , and  $a$ , given by the equations (1);  $\delta a$  and  $\delta t$  are infinitesimals and, as is shown above,  $\delta a$  is a function of  $a$  and  $\delta t$ ; the equations are true for all values of  $x, y$ , and  $a$ .

If in the equations (1)  $x$  and  $y$  are given any definite numerical values,  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  depend only on  $a$ . Hence if  $x$  and  $y$  are given any definite numerical values in the equations (7), these equations will express relations in  $\delta t, \delta a$  and  $a$  alone. These relations must of course agree with the one that expresses  $\delta a$  in terms of  $a$  and  $\delta t$ . Now we can choose the numbers  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  so that the first coefficients of one of the two relations, namely the quantities

$$\xi(x_1, y_1), \quad \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a}, \quad (8)$$

or the quantities

$$\eta(x_1, y_1), \quad \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a}, \quad (9)$$

do not vanish.\*

Then the first or second equation (7) gives the relation between  $\delta a, \delta t$  and  $a$  in the form

---

\*It is obvious that both of the quantities  $\xi(x_1, y_1)$   $\eta(x_1, y_1)$  cannot vanish identically, else we should have no transformation. We may assume then that  $\xi(x_1, y_1)$  does not vanish. It is clear then that  $\frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a}$  cannot, in general, vanish; for if it should vanish identically for all values of  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $\varphi(x, y, a)$  would be free from  $a$  and hence  $x$  would not be transformed at all, *i. e.*  $\xi(x_1, y_1)$  would be identically zero; but the latter is contrary to hypothesis.

$$u_1 \delta t + u_2 \delta t^2 + \dots = v_1 \delta a + v_2 \delta a^2 + \dots, \quad (10)$$

in which  $u_1, u_2, \dots, v_1, v_2, \dots$  depend upon  $a$ .  $u_1, v_1$  are both different from zero since they replace the quantities (8) or the quantities (9).

Now it is a theorem of the theory of functions that when two quantities  $\delta a$  and  $\delta t$  are related as in (10),  $\delta a$  may be developed in a power series of  $\delta t$ , whose first coefficient is not zero, *i. e.*

$$\delta a = w_1 \delta t + w_2 \delta t^2 + \dots,$$

where  $w_1$  is not identically zero. The  $w_i$  are certain functions of  $a$ . Substituting this value of  $\delta a$  in the equations (7), conceiving  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  as variables again, we have

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x_1, y_1) \delta t + \dots = \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} (w_1 \delta t + w_2 \delta t^2 + \dots) + \dots, \\ \eta(x_1, y_1) \delta t + \dots = \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} (w_1 \delta t + w_2 \delta t^2 + \dots) + \dots \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Dividing through by  $\delta t$  and passing to the limit  $\delta t=0$ , these become

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x_1, y_1) = \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} w_1(a), \\ \eta(x_1, y_1) = \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} w_1(a). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The equations (1) solved for  $x$  and  $y$  give

$$x = \lambda(x_1, y_1, a), \quad y = \mu(x_1, y_1, a). \quad (13)$$

If these values of  $x$  and  $y$  be put in the equations (12) we have

$$\begin{cases} \xi(x_1, y_1) = X(x_1, y_1, a) w_1(a), \\ \eta(x_1, y_1) = Y(x_1, y_1, a) w_1(a). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

The equations (14) must be true for all values of  $x_1, y_1$  and  $a$ . Their left members do not contain  $a$ , hence their right members do not really contain  $a$ , but only in appearance, *i. e.* the functions  $X$  and  $Y$  have the form

$$X(x_1, y_1, a) \equiv \frac{A(x_1, y_1)}{w(a)}, \quad Y(x_1, y_1, a) \equiv \frac{B(x_1, y_1)}{w(a)}. \quad (15)$$

If we give now to the quantity  $a$  in the equations (14) a definite value  $a^*$ , the functions  $X$  and  $Y$  are changed into functions of  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  alone,

---

\*For example, a solution of the equation  $w(a) - 1 = 0$  would be such a value of  $a$  that would reduce the functions  $X$  and  $Y$  to functions of  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  alone.

$$X(x_1, y_1, \bar{a}) \equiv \bar{X}(x_1, y_1), \quad Y(x_1, y_1, \bar{a}) \equiv \bar{Y}(x_1, y_1). \quad (16)$$

$w_1(a)$  becomes  $w_1(\bar{a})$ , which is a constant, since  $\bar{a}$  is a definite number. Then, excepting this constant factor, the defining functions  $\xi(x_1, y_1)$  and  $\eta(x_1, y_1)$  of the infinitesimal transformation are completely determined, that is, if we call this constant  $k$ , we have

$$\xi(x_1, y_1) = k \bar{X}(x_1, y_1), \quad \eta(x_1, y_1) = k \bar{Y}(x_1, y_1). \quad (17)$$

This result obtains for *every* infinitesimal transformation (2) of the  $G_1$  (1).

Any two infinitesimal transformations of the group (1), say

$$x' = x + \xi \delta t + \dots, \quad y' = y + \eta \delta t + \dots$$

and

$$x' = x + \bar{\xi} \delta t + \dots, \quad y' = y + \bar{\eta} \delta t + \dots$$

can differ in their terms of the first order only by a constant factor, since

$$\bar{\xi} \equiv k \xi, \quad \bar{\eta} \equiv k \eta.$$

LIE calls two such infinitesimal transformations, whose terms of the first order differ only by a constant factor, *dependent* infinitesimal transformations since they are essentially the same, for, inasmuch as  $\delta t$  was taken as an arbitrary infinitely small quantity,  $k \delta t$  has the same meaning as  $\delta t$ .

In this manner LIE arrives at the theorem: *A one parameter group of the plane with inverse transformations contains one infinitesimal transformation and no more; or, more accurately expressed, all infinitesimal transformations of a one parameter group agree in their terms of the first order excepting a constant factor.\**

14. The factor  $w_1(a)$  may be gotten rid of in the equations (12) by introducing a new parameter in the group (1) in place of  $a$ . If  $a_0$  is the value of the parameter  $a$  which gives the identical transformation of the group (1), the new parameter,  $t$ , is given by

$$t = \int_{a_0}^a \frac{da}{w_1(a)}, \quad (19)$$

and the equations of the group become

$$x_1 = \Phi(x, y, t), \quad y_1 = \Psi(x, y, t). \quad (20)$$

Since  $a = a_0$  makes  $t = 0$  in (19), it is clear that in the form (20) the identical transformation,  $x_1 = x$ ,  $y_1 = y$ , is given by the value of the parameter  $t = 0$ . Hence the equations (12) may be written

---

\*See LIE—*Vorlesungen über Differentialgleichungen mit bekannten infinitesimalen Transformationen*, bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Dr. Georg Scheffers, Leipzig, 1891, pp. 38 et seq.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi(x_1, y_1) = \frac{\partial \varphi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \frac{da}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y, t)}{\partial t}, \\ \eta(x_1, y_1) = \frac{\partial \psi(x, y, a)}{\partial a} \frac{da}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial \Psi(x, y, t)}{\partial t}, \end{array} \right. \quad (21)$$

$$\text{or} \quad \xi(x_1, y_1) = \frac{dx_1}{dt}, \quad \eta(x_1, y_1) = \frac{dy_1}{dt}, \quad (22)$$

since  $x_1, y_1$  are the functions (1) of  $a$  or the functions (20) of  $t$ .

Hence the equations (20), which are equivalent to the original equations (1) of the group, are the integral equations of the simultaneous system

$$\frac{dx_1}{\xi(x_1, y_1)} = \frac{dy_1}{\eta(x_1, y_1)} = dt, \quad (23)$$

with the initial conditions  $x_1 = x, y_1 = y, t = 0$ .

Since this simultaneous system and its integral equations are completely determined when the first members

$$x' = x + \xi(x, y)\delta t, \quad y' = y + \eta(x, y)\delta t,$$

of the infinitesimal transformation of the group are given, LIE has the theorem—*a one parameter group of the plane is completely defined by its infinitesimal transformation ; or otherwise expressed, every infinitesimal transformation belongs to one one parameter group of the plane and no more.*

This theorem and the preceding one are incorporated in the following second fundamental theorem of LIE's theory of the group of one parameter.

**THEOREM :** *Every one parameter group of the plane whose transformations are inverse in pairs contains one infinitesimal transformation and no more. Every infinitesimal transformation of the plane belongs to one one parameter group and to one only. The latter's transformations are inverse in pairs.*

The reader may find it to his advantage to work through the following examples carefully. They are in illustration of the theorems of the last two notes of this series and are drawn from LIE's lectures on differential equations.

1°. If the given infinitesimal transformation has the form

$$x_1 = x + x\delta t, \quad y_1 = y + y\delta t,$$

then

$$\xi(x, y) \equiv x, \quad \eta(x, y) \equiv y,$$

and the simultaneous system is

$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1} = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} = dt.$$

The integration of this simultaneous system gives

$$\log x_1 - \log x = \log y_1 - \log y = t,$$

or

$$x_1 = e^t x, \quad y_1 = e^t y.$$

These equations represent a one parameter group as may be readily verified directly. Any transformation of the group changes all abscissas and ordinates in the same ratio, *i. e.* the entire plane is proportionately increased or diminished from the origin of coördinates.  $t=0$  gives the infinitesimal transformation of the group. By the exponential theorem we have

$$e^{\delta t} = 1 + \frac{\delta t}{1!} + \frac{\delta t^2}{2!} + \dots;$$

hence the infinitesimal transformation of the group is

$$x_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\delta t}{1!} + \frac{\delta t^2}{2!} + \dots\right)x, \quad y_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\delta t}{1!} + \frac{\delta t^2}{2!} + \dots\right)y,$$

which agrees, in its terms of the first order, with the original infinitesimal transformation.

2°. The equations

$$x_1 = \sqrt{x^2 + xy t}, \quad y_1 = \frac{xy}{\sqrt{x^2 + xy t}}$$

represent a one parameter group, as appears in the following manner by making use again of the fundamental theorem of note III. We have clearly

$$x_1 y_1 = xy \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x_1}{y_1} = \frac{x^2 + xy t}{xy} \equiv \frac{x}{y} + t.$$

These equations have the form of those in the theorem named if we put

$$\Omega(x, y) \equiv xy, \quad W(x, y) \equiv x/y.$$

$t=0$  corresponds to the identical transformation of the group, hence the infinitesimal transformation has the parameter  $t=\delta t$ . Since

$$\sqrt{x^2 + xy t} = x \sqrt{1 + \frac{y}{x} \delta t} = x \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{x} \delta t + \dots\right),$$

the infinitesimal transformation of the group is represented by the equations

$$x_1 = x + \frac{1}{2} y \delta t + \dots, \quad y_1 = y - \frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x} \delta t + \dots$$

Hence,

$$\xi(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} y, \quad \eta(x, y) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x},$$



and the finite equations of the group will appear again by integrating the simultaneous system.

$$\frac{2dx_1}{y_1} = -\frac{2x_1 dy_1}{y_1^2} = dt.$$

3°.  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ , the roots  $u$  of the quadratic equation

$$(u-x)(u-y)+t=0,$$

expressed in terms of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $t$ , define a  $G_1$ .

The equation may be written

$$u^2 - (x+y)u + xy + t = 0.$$

Then by an elementary theorem of the theory of algebraic equations,

$$x_1 + y_1 = x + y,$$

$$x_1 y_1 = xy + t.$$

These are two equations of the form

$$\Omega(x_1, y_1) = \Omega(x, y), \quad W(x_1, y_1) - t = W(x, y),$$

and hence represent a group.

By actually solving the equations it may readily be shown that the infinitesimal transformation of the group is given by the equations

$$x_1 = x + \frac{\delta t}{y-x} + \dots, \quad y_1 = y + \frac{\delta t}{x-y} + \dots$$

4°. The equations

$$x_1 = x + t, \quad y_1 = \frac{xy-t}{x+t}$$

represent a  $G_1$ . In this case

$$\xi(x, y) \equiv \eta(x, y) \equiv -\frac{1+y}{x}.$$

The finite equations are integral equations of the simultaneous system

$$\frac{dx_1}{1} = -\frac{x_1 dy_1}{1+y_1} = dt.$$

The reader will have no trouble in verifying these results.

*Princeton University, 6 January, 1898.*

[To be Continued.]